

SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

CASE No.: 03-1149-D

COMPLETE TITLE:

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings
Against Darin S. Harmon, Attorney at Law:

Office of Lawyer Regulation,
Complainant,

v.

Darin S. Harmon,
Respondent.

DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST HARMON

OPINION FILED: June 16, 2003

SUBMITTED ON BRIEFS:

ORAL ARGUMENT:

SOURCE OF APPEAL:

COURT:

COUNTY:

JUDGE:

JUSTICES:

CONCURRED:

DISSENTED:

NOT PARTICIPATING:

ATTORNEYS:

SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

NOTICE

This order is subject to further editing and modification. The final version will appear in the bound volume of the official reports.

No. 03-1149-D

**In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings
Against Darin S. Harmon, Attorney at Law:**

FILED

**Office of Lawyer Regulation,
Complainant,**

June 16, 2003

v.

**Darin S. Harmon,
Respondent.**

Cornelia G. Clark
Clerk of Supreme Court
Madison, WI

The Court entered the following order on this date:

On May 6, 2003, the Office of Lawyer Regulation (OLR) and Attorney Darin S. Harmon filed a Stipulation asking this court to impose reciprocal discipline identical to that imposed on Attorney Harmon by the Iowa Supreme Court. That court publicly reprimanded Attorney Harmon for misconduct stemming from his representation of a party to a dissolution proceeding. Attorney Harmon was reprimanded for violating DR 5-105(B) of the Iowa Code of Professional Responsibility by engaging in an impermissible "issue" conflict and for communicating with a party known to be represented by counsel, in violation of DR 7-104(A)(1).

SCR 22.22(3) provides that this court shall impose the identical discipline unless the procedure in the other jurisdiction was so lacking in notice or opportunity to be heard as to constitute a due process violation; there was such an infirmity of proof establishing the misconduct that this court should not accept as final the misconduct finding; or the

misconduct justifies substantially different discipline here. Neither OLR nor Attorney Harmon contend, nor does this court find, that any of these three exceptions exist.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the Stipulation is accepted and Attorney Darin S. Harmon is hereby publicly reprimanded for professional misconduct pursuant to SCR 22.22.

